Serial No.: 10/724,284

Filed: Nov. 26, 2003

Amendment dated May 9, 2008

Reply to Office action dated Feb. 15, 2008

Page : 2 of 13

## Amendments to the Claims

The following Listing of Claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

## Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-10 (canceled)

Claim 11 (currently amended): A machine-implemented method, comprising: receiving a scalable encoded bitstream comprising scalable encoded media data and values of non-media-type-specific scalability attributes-attribute variables defining corresponding to different adaptation points of the scalable encoded media data;

obtaining receiving attributes for a destination of an outbound version of the scalable encoded bitstream, wherein ones of the receiving attributes define explicit constraints on the outbound version of the scalable encoded bitstream in terms of respective semantic-independent functions of ones of the scalability attribute variables;

determining values of adaptation measures from respective evaluations the semanticindependent functions based on the values of the ones of the scalability attribute variables;

ascertaining a set of one or more candidate ones of the adaptation points of based on imposition of the constraints on the determined values of the adaptation measures;

selecting an adaptation point from the set of candidate adaptation points for the scalable encoded bitstream without regard to the scalable encoded media data, wherein the selecting comprises comparing adaptation measures computed from ones of the scalability attribute values to receiving attributes specifying constraints on the adaptation measures for a destination of the scalable encoded bitstream; and

transcoding the scalable bit stream in accordance with the selected adaptation point to produce a scaled the outbound version of the scalable encoded bitstream.

Claim 12 (previously presented): The method of claim 11, wherein the selecting determining comprises determining the value of at least one of the adaptation measures based at

Amendment dated May 9, 2008 Serial No.: 10/724,284 Reply to Office action dated Feb. 15, 2008 Filed : Nov. 26, 2003

Page : 3 of 13

least in part on a multivariate function defined by a respective one of the receiving attributes and comprising a linear combination of products of univariate functions of ones of the scalability attribute values variables.

Claim 13 (previously presented): The method of claim 12, wherein the selecting ascertaining comprises comparing the at least one adaptation measure to at least one constraint defined by a respective one of the receiving attributes on the multivariate function.

Claim 14 (previously presented): The method of claim 11, wherein the selecting ascertaining comprises comparing ones of the adaptation measures to ones of the receiving attributes specifying respective limit constraints defined by respective ones of the receiving attributes.

Claim 15 (previously presented): The method of claim 11, wherein the selecting comprises comparing ones of the adaptation measures to ones of the receiving attributes specifying optimization constraints defined by respective ones of the receiving attributes.

Claim 16 (previously presented): The method of claim 13, wherein the products comprise product terms and the selecting determining comprises evaluating the multivariate function based on ones of the receiving attributes specifying at least one of:

a number of product terms in the linear combination; a number of elements in each product term; attribute codes for attributes in each product term; function codes for the univariate functions of the attribute values; and

multipliers for the linear combination.

Claim 17 (previously presented): The method of claim 14, wherein the selecting ascertaining comprises comparing ones of the adaptation measures to ones of the limit constraints specifying for at least one of one of the adaptation measures at least one of a maximum value and a minimum value supportable by the receiving destination.

Serial No.: 10/724,284

Filed: Nov. 26, 2003

Amendment dated May 9, 2008

Reply to Office action dated Feb. 15, 2008

Page : 4 of 13

Claim 18 (previously presented): The method of claim 15, wherein the selecting comprises selecting the adaptation point in accordance with at least one of the optimization constraints specifying at least one of a maximization and a minimization of a respective one of the adaptation measures.

Claim 19 (previously presented): The method of claim 11, wherein the selecting determining comprises determining at least one of the adaptation measures based at least in part on an evaluation of a stack function comprising operators, and variables corresponding to ones of the scalability attributes.

Claims 20-32 (canceled)

Claim 33 (previously presented): A transcoder, comprising:

an input that receives a scalable encoded bitstream comprising scalable encoded media data and a resource description comprising values of non-media-type-specific scalability <u>attribute</u> <u>variables definingattributes corresponding to</u> different adaptation points of the scalable encoded media data, wherein the input additionally receives receiving attributes <u>specifying</u> for a destination of <u>an outbound version of</u> the scalable encoded bitstream, <u>wherein ones of the</u> receiving attributes define explicit constraints on <u>the outbound version of</u> the scalable encoded bitstream in terms of respective <u>semantic-independent functions of ones of the scalability</u> <u>attribute variables</u> adaptation measures specified in terms of respective functions of ones of the scalability attributes;

an optimizer that <u>determines values of adaptation measures from respective evaluations</u> the semantic-independent functions based on the values of the ones of the scalability attribute variables, ascertains a set of one or more candidate ones of the adaptation points of based on imposition of the constraints on the determined values of the adaptation measures, and selects an adaptation point from the set of candidate adaptation points for the scalable encoded bitstream without regard to the scalable encoded media dataselects an adaptation point for the scalable encoded bitstream without regard to the scalable encoded media data, wherein in selecting the

Serial No.: 10/724,284

Filed: Nov. 26, 2003

Amendment dated May 9, 2008

Reply to Office action dated Feb. 15, 2008

Page : 5 of 13

adaptation point the optimizer compares values of the adaptation measures computed from ones of the scalability attribute values to ones of the constraints specified by the receiving attributes; and

a resource adaptation engine that transcodes the scalable bit stream in accordance with the selected adaptation point to produce a scaled the outbound version of the scalable encoded bitstream.

Claim 34 (previously presented): A computer system, comprising:

- a memory; and
- a transcoder that performs operations comprising
  - receiving a scalable encoded bitstream comprising scalable encoded media data and values of non-media-type-specific scalability attribute variables

    definingattributes corresponding to different adaptation points of the scalable encoded media data,
  - obtaining receiving attributes for a destination of an outbound version of the

    scalable encoded bitstream, wherein ones of the receiving attributes define
    explicit constraints on the outbound version of the scalable encoded

    bitstream in terms of respective semantic-independent functions of ones of
    the scalability attribute variables;
  - determining values of adaptation measures from respective evaluations the

    semantic-independent functions based on the values of the ones of the
    scalability attribute variables;
  - on imposition of the constraints on the determined values of the adaptation measures;
  - selecting an adaptation point <u>from the set of candidate adaptation points</u> <del>for the</del> sealable encoded bitstream without regard to the scalable encoded media data, wherein the selecting comprises comparing adaptation measures computed from ones of the scalability attribute values to receiving

Applicant: Debargha Mukherjee et al.

Serial No.: 10/724,284

Filed : Nov. 26, 2003

Page : 6 of 13

Attorney's Docket No.: 200310816-1

Amendment dated May 9, 2008

Reply to Office action dated Feb. 15, 2008

attributes specifying constraints on the adaptation measures for a destination of the scalable encoded bitstream, and transcoding the scalable bit stream in accordance with the selected adaptation point to produce a scaled version of the scalable encoded bitstream.

Claims 35 and 36 (canceled)

Claim 37 (withdrawn - currently amended): The method of claim 11, wherein the scalable encoded bitstream additionally comprises description metadata specifying a hierarchical model of the bitstream, and the transcoding further comprises adapting the description metadata to represent the structure of the <u>sealed-outbound</u> version of the scalable encoded bitstream.

Claim 38 (withdrawn): The method of claim 11, wherein the scalable encoded bitstream specifies combination variables in terms of respective ordered lists of ones of numeric constants, variables, arguments, and operators; and further comprising evaluating each of the combination variables, wherein the evaluating comprising pushing the respective ordered list onto a respective expression stack.

Claim 39 (withdrawn): The method of claim 38, wherein the pushing comprises pushing each constant into the respective expression stack, and the pushing of each constant comprises pushing a real numeric element corresponding to the constant into the respective expression stack.

Claim 40 (withdrawn): The method of claim 38, wherein the pushing comprises pushing each variable into the respective expression stack, and the pushing of each variable comprises determining a numeric value of the variable for a set of adaptation points and pushing the determining numeric value into the respective expression stack.

Claim 41 (withdrawn): The method of claim 38, wherein

Serial No.: 10/724,284

Filed: Nov. 26, 2003

Reply to Office action dated Feb. 15, 2008

Page : 7 of 13

the pushing comprises pushing one or more unary operators into the respective expression stack, and

in response to pushing each unary operator into the respective expression stack, popping the unary operator and a successive top numeric stack element out of the respective expression stack, determining a result from the popped unary operator and numeric stack element, and pushing the result into the respective expression stack.

Claim 42 (withdrawn): The method of claim 38, wherein

the pushing comprises pushing one or more binary operators in the respective expression stack, and

in response to pushing each binary operator into the respective expression stack, popping the binary operator and two successive top numeric stack elements out of the respective expression stack, determining a result from the popped binary operator and the two numeric stack elements, and pushing the result into the respective expression stack.

Claim 43 (withdrawn): The method of claim 38, further comprising calling each of the combination variables specifying a number of arguments, and in response to each calling of a respective one of the combination variables, serially popping the specified number of top elements from the respective expression stack, and determining a value of the combination variable from the popped elements.